arXiv:1106.1425v1 [math.AC] 7 Jun 2011

FACTORING POLYNOMIALS IN THE RING OF FORMAL POWER SERIES OVER $\mathbb{Z}$

DANIEL BIRMAJER, JUAN B. GIL, AND MICHAEL WEINER

Abstract. We consider polynomials with integer coefficients and discuss their factorization properties in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$, the ring of formal power series over $\mathbb{Z}$. We treat polynomials of arbitrary degree and give sufficient conditions for their reducibility as power series. Moreover, if a polynomial is reducible over $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$, we provide an explicit factorization algorithm. For polynomials whose constant term is a prime power, our study leads to the discussion of p-adic integers.

1. Introduction

In this paper we consider polynomials with integer coefficients and discuss their factorization as elements of $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$, the ring of formal power series over $\mathbb{Z}$. We treat polynomials of arbitrary degree and give sufficient conditions for their reducibility in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$. For polynomials of degree two or three these conditions are also necessary.

If the constant term of the polynomial is not a prime power, the reducibility discussion is straightforward. We briefly address these cases in Section 2. On the other hand, if the constant term of the polynomial is a nontrivial prime power, say p^n with p prime and $n \geq 2$, the question of reducibility in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ leads in some cases (when n is no greater than twice the p-adic valuation of the linear coefficient) to the discussion of p-adic integers. In this context, our main result is that, if such a polynomial has a root in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ whose p-adic valuation is positive, then it is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$. This particular case is presented in Section 3. Our proofs are constructive and provide explicit factorization algorithms.

It is important to note that irreducible elements in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ and in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ are, in general, unrelated. For instance, $6+x+x^2$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ but can be factored in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$, while $2+7x+3x^2$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ but equals $(2+x)(1+3x)$ as a polynomial. Observe that the latter is not a proper factorization in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ since $1+3x$ is an invertible element. More examples and a few remarks concerning the hypotheses of our main theorem are given in Section 4. For illustrative purposes, we explicitly discuss our results in the context of cubic polynomials.

A first study of the factorization theory of quadratic polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ was presented in [3]. The results of this paper contain and expand those obtained in the quadratic case to polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ of arbitrary degree. All the needed material regarding the ring $\mathbb{Z}_p$ of p-adic integers can be found in [4, 5].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13F25;11Y05,13P05.

D. Birmajer would like to acknowledge the support and hospitality of the Universidad Nacional de San Luis, San Luis, Argentina.

2. Preliminaries

We start by reviewing some of the basic properties of the factorization theory of power series over $\mathbb{Z}$. For a more extensive discussion, we refer the reader to [2].

Let $f(x) = f_0 + f_1x + \cdots + f_dx^d$ be a polynomial with integer coefficients. It is easy to check that $f(x)$ is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ if and only if $f_0 = \pm 1$.

We say that $f(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ if there exist power series,

$$A(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k \text{ and } B(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k x^k \quad \text{with } a_k,\ b_k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

such that $a_0 \neq \pm 1$, $b_0 \neq \pm 1$, and $f(x) = A(x)B(x)$.

A proof of the following basic proposition can be found in [2].

Proposition 2.1. *Let $f(x)$ be a non-invertible polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$.*
(*a*) *If f_0 is prime, then $f(x)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$.*
(*b*) *If f_0 is not a prime power, then $f(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$.*
(*c*) *If $f_0 = p^n$ with p prime, $n \geq 2$, and $p \not| f_1$, then $f(x)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$.*

As an immediate consequence, we have:

Proposition 2.2 (Linear polynomials)**.** *A polynomial*

$$f(x) = p^n + f_1 x$$

with $n \geq 2$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ if and only if $p \,|\, f_1$.

It remains to examine the reducibility of nonlinear polynomials of the form

$$f(x) = p^n + p^m\gamma_1 x + \gamma_2 x^2 + \cdots + \gamma_d x^d, \quad \gamma_d \neq 0, \tag{2.3}$$

with p prime, $n \geq 2$, $m \geq 1$, $\gcd(p, \gamma_1) = 1$ or $\gamma_1 = 0$, and $\gcd(p, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_d) = 1$.

As it turns out, the reducibility of such polynomials depends on the relation between the parameters n and m in (2.3) and on their factorization properties as elements of $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$. Accordingly, we divide our study into two cases: The case when $n > 2m$, see Proposition 2.5, and the case when $n \leq 2m$, which is more involved and will be investigated in the next section.

Remark 2.4. *If a polynomial of the form* (2.3) *has no linear term, i.e. if $\gamma_1 = 0$, we can assume m as large as needed and can therefore discuss its reducibility as for the case when $n \leq 2m$.*

Proposition 2.5. *If $n > 2m$ and $\gcd(p, \gamma_1) = 1$, then the polynomial $f(x)$ in* (2.3) *is reducible in both $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ and $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$.*

Proof. First of all, observe that $f(p^n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n}$, and $f'(p^n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^m}$ because $n > m$. Moreover, since $n > 2m \geq m + 1$ and $\gcd(p, \gamma_1) = 1$, we have $f'(p^n) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^{m+1}}$. Then, by Hensel's lemma, p^n lifts to a root of $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Thus $f(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$.

To show the reducibility of $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$, we give an inductive procedure to find $a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$f(x) = \big(p^m + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots\big)\big(p^{n-m} + b_1x + b_2x^2 + \cdots\big).$$

First of all, observe that $n > 2m$ implies $n - m > m$. As a first step, we need

$$\begin{aligned} p^m\gamma_1 &= p^m(b_1 + p^{n-2m}a_1) \\ \gamma_2 &= p^m s_2 + a_1(\gamma_1 - p^{n-2m}a_1) \end{aligned}$$

with $s_2 = b_2 + p^{n-2m}a_2$. If we let $h(x) = \gamma_2 - \gamma_1 x + p^{n-2m}x^2$, then solving the last equation is equivalent to finding $a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $h(a_1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^m}$. Since the discriminant of $h(x)$ is a square mod p, there exists $r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $h(r) = 0$. We let $a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the reduction of r mod p^m and let $s_2 = h(a_1)/p^m$.

With the notation $s_j = b_j + p^{n-2m}a_j$, the rest of the equations become

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_3 &= p^m s_3 + a_2(\gamma_1 - 2p^{n-2m}a_1) + a_1 s_2\\
\gamma_4 &= p^m s_4 + a_3(\gamma_1 - 2p^{n-2m}a_1) + a_1 s_3 + a_2 b_2\\
&\ \,\vdots \qquad\qquad\qquad \vdots\\
\gamma_d &= p^m s_d + a_{d-1}(\gamma_1 - 2p^{n-2m}a_1) + a_1 s_{d-1} + a_2 b_{d-2} + \cdots + a_{d-2}b_2\\
0 &= p^m s_{d+1} + a_d(\gamma_1 - 2p^{n-2m}a_1) + a_1 s_d + a_2 b_{d-1} + \cdots + a_{d-1}b_2\\
&\ \,\vdots \qquad\qquad\qquad \vdots\\
0 &= p^m s_{k+1} + a_k(\gamma_1 - 2p^{n-2m}a_1) + a_1 s_k + a_2 b_{k-1} + \cdots + a_{k-1}b_2\\
&\ \,\vdots \qquad\qquad\qquad \vdots
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\gamma_1 - 2p^{n-2m}a_1$ is not divisible by p, there are integers a_2 and s_3 such that the equation for γ_3 is satisfied. With the same argument, one can proceed inductively to solve for every pair $a_k, s_{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$. □

In the next section, we will make use of the following elementary result:

Lemma 2.6 (Theorem 1.42 in [4]). *A polynomial with integer coefficients has a root in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ if and only if it has an integer root modulo p^k for any $k \geq 1$.*

3. Factorization in the presence of a p-adic root

In this section, we finish our discussion of reducibility in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ for polynomials with integer coefficients. Our results rely on the existence of a root $\varrho \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$, and the nature of the factorization depends on the multiplicity of ϱ and on its p-adic valuation, denoted by $v_p(\varrho)$. As we will show later, the existence of a root in $p\mathbb{Z}_p$ is a necessary condition for quadratic and cubic polynomials.

If $\varrho \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ is a multiple root of $f(x)$, then it is reducible with factors in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $f(x)$ be a polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$. If $f(x)$ has a multiple root $\varrho \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $v_p(\varrho) = \ell \geq 1$, then $f(x)$ admits a proper factorization*

$$f(x) = G(x) \cdot f_{\mathrm{red}}(x)$$

where $G(x) = \gcd(f, f')$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, and $f_{\mathrm{red}}(x) = f(x)/G(x)$.

Proof. Let $\varrho \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $v_p(\varrho) = \ell$ be the multiple root of $f(x)$.

Let $G(x) = \gcd(f, f') \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Then $f_{\mathrm{red}}(x) = f(x)/G(x)$ is an element of $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $f(x) = G(x)f_{\mathrm{red}}(x)$. This factorization is also valid in $\mathbb{Q}_p[x]$, and every root of $f(x)$ is a simple root of $f_{\mathrm{red}}(x)$. Thus, together with $f_{\mathrm{red}}(\varrho) = 0$, we must also have $G(\varrho) = 0$. Hence p^ℓ divides both $f_{\mathrm{red}}(0)$ and $G(0)$ giving that the factorization $f(x) = G(x)f_{\mathrm{red}}(x)$ is a proper factorization of $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$. □

We now tackle the case when $f(x)$ has a simple root in $p\mathbb{Z}_p$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $f(x)$ be a polynomial of the form (2.3) with $n \leq 2m$ and $d \geq 2$. If $f(x)$ has a simple root $\varrho \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $v_p(\varrho) = \ell \geq 1$, then $f(x)$ admits a factorization*

$$f(x) = \big(p^\ell + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \cdots\big)\big(p^{n-\ell} + b_1 x + b_2 x^2 + \cdots\big) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}[[x]]. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. Let $\varrho \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, with $v_p(\varrho) = \ell$, be the root of $f(x)$. Since $n \le 2m$ and

$$p^n + p^m\gamma_1\varrho + \gamma_2\varrho^2 + \cdots + \gamma_d\varrho^d = 0,$$

we must have $\ell \le m$ and $2\ell \le n$.

The goal is to find coefficients $a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $f(x)$ factors as in (3.3).

First, consider the polynomial given by $g(-x) = \frac{x^d}{p^{2\ell}} f(p^\ell/x)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= p^{(d-2)\ell}\gamma_d - p^{(d-3)\ell}\gamma_{d-1}x + p^{(d-4)\ell}\gamma_{d-2}x^2 + \cdots \\ &\qquad \cdots + (-1)^{d-2}\gamma_2 x^{d-2} + (-1)^{d-1}p^{m-\ell}\gamma_1 x^{d-1} + (-1)^d p^{n-2\ell}x^d. \end{aligned}$$

We set $g_d(x) = g(x)$ and define recursively

$$g_k(x) = \frac{p^{(k-1)\ell}\gamma_{k+1} - g_{k+1}(x)}{x} \text{ for } k = (d-1), (d-2), \ldots, 2.$$

In particular, $g_2(x) = \gamma_2 - p^{m-\ell}\gamma_1 x + p^{n-2\ell}x^2$. Now, if we solve for $g_{k+1}(x)$ and take its derivative, we obtain

$$g_{k+1}'(x) = -\big(g_k(x) + x g_k'(x)\big) \text{ for every } 2 \le k \le d-1. \tag{3.4}$$

Note that if $r = -p^\ell/\varrho \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, then $v_p(r) = 0$ and $g_d(r) = 0$. Moreover, since $f'(\varrho) \neq 0$, we have $g_d'(r) \neq 0$. Let $\theta = v_p(g_d'(r))$.

Our factoring starts by letting $a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a reduction of r mod $p^{(2d-3)\ell+2\theta}$. Then

$$g_d(a_1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{(2d-3)\ell+2\theta}} \text{ and } v_p(g_d'(a_1)) = \theta.$$

Since $g_d(a_1) = p^{(d-2)\ell}\gamma_d - a_1 g_{d-1}(a_1)$, we have that $p^{(d-2)\ell} \mid g_{d-1}(a_1)$. If we proceed iteratively, we obtain that

$$p^{(k-1)\ell} \mid g_k(a_1) \text{ for every } 2 \le k \le d-1.$$

We set $a_2 = a_3 = \cdots = a_{d-1} = 0$, and define

$$s_j = b_j + p^{n-2\ell}a_j \text{ for every } j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

With these conventions, finding the factorization (3.3) is equivalent to solving in $\mathbb{Z}$ the system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} p^m\gamma_1 &= p^\ell s_1 \\ \gamma_2 &= p^\ell s_2 + a_1(s_1 - p^{n-2\ell}a_1) \\ \gamma_3 &= p^\ell s_3 + a_1 s_2 \\ &\;\vdots \\ \gamma_d &= p^\ell s_d + a_1 s_{d-1} \\ 0 &= p^\ell s_{d+1} + a_d b_1 + a_1 b_d \\ 0 &= p^\ell s_{d+2} + a_{d+1}b_1 + a_d s_2 + a_1 b_{d+1} \\ &\;\vdots \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

The first equation then gives $s_1 = p^{m-\ell}\gamma_1$, so the second equation becomes

$$\gamma_2 = p^\ell s_2 + a_1(p^{m-\ell}\gamma_1 - p^{n-2\ell}a_1).$$

Now, if we set $s_2 = p^{-\ell}g_2(a_1)$, this equation is also satisfied. In fact, if we set

$$s_k = p^{-(k-1)\ell}g_k(a_1) \text{ for } 2 \le k \le d, \tag{3.6}$$

the integers a_1, $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_d$ solve the first d equations of the above system. At this point, we also have $b_1 = p^{m-\ell}\gamma_1 - p^{n-2\ell}a_1$ and $b_k = s_k$ for $k = 2, \ldots, d-1$.

For $k \geq d$, we combine $a_k b_1$ with $a_1 b_k$, and use $g_2'(a_1) = -(p^{m-\ell}\gamma_1 - 2p^{n-2\ell}a_1)$ to rewrite each corresponding equation in (3.5) as

$$0 = p^\ell s_{k+1} - a_k g_2'(a_1) + a_1 s_k + \sum_{j=d}^{k-1} a_j b_{k+1-j}.$$

We proceed with an inductive algorithm to choose a_{d+j} and s_{d+1+j} for $j \geq 0$. This will then determine b_{d+j}, providing the desired factorization of $f(x)$.

Base step. Choosing a_d and s_{d+1}. We consider the next block of $d-1$ consecutive equations in (3.5). As mentioned above, these equations can be written as[1]

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= p^\ell s_{d+1} - a_d g_2'(a_1) + a_1 s_d \\
0 &= p^\ell s_{d+2} - a_{d+1} g_2'(a_1) + a_d s_2 + a_1 s_{d+1} \\
0 &= p^\ell s_{d+3} - a_{d+2} g_2'(a_1) + a_{d+1} s_2 + a_d s_3 + a_1 s_{d+2} \\
&\vdots \\
0 &= p^\ell s_{2d-1} - a_{2d-2} g_2'(a_1) + a_{2d-3} s_2 + \cdots + a_d s_{d-1} + a_1 s_{2d-2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

The first two equations combined give

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= p^{2\ell} s_{d+2} - p^\ell a_{d+1} g_2'(a_1) + p^\ell a_d s_2 + a_1 (a_d g_2'(a_1) - a_1 s_d) \\
&= p^{2\ell} s_{d+2} - p^\ell a_{d+1} g_2'(a_1) + a_d \big(g_2(a_1) + a_1 g_2'(a_1)\big) - a_1^2 s_d \\
&= p^{2\ell} s_{d+2} - p^\ell a_{d+1} g_2'(a_1) - a_d g_3'(a_1) - a_1^2 s_d
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

because $p^\ell s_2 = g_2(a_1)$ and $g_2(a_1) + a_1 g_2'(a_1) = -g_3'(a_1)$. If we now combine (3.8) with the third equation in (3.7) and use, in addition, the identities $p^{2\ell} s_3 = g_3(a_1)$ and $g_3(a_1) + a_1 g_3'(a_1) = -g_4'(a_1)$, we then arrive at

$$0 = p^{3\ell} s_{d+3} - p^{2\ell} a_{d+2} g_2'(a_1) - a_{d+1} p^\ell g_3'(a_1) - a_d g_4'(a_1) + a_1^3 s_d.$$

Continuing this process, using the relations (3.4) and (3.6) in each iteration, the system (3.7) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= p^\ell s_{d+1} - a_d g_2'(a_1) + a_1 s_d \\
0 &= p^{2\ell} s_{d+2} - p^\ell a_{d+1} g_2'(a_1) - a_d g_3'(a_1) - a_1^2 s_d \\
0 &= p^{3\ell} s_{d+3} - p^{2\ell} a_{d+2} g_2'(a_1) - a_{d+1} p^\ell g_3'(a_1) - a_d g_4'(a_1) + a_1^3 s_d \\
&\vdots \\
0 &= p^{(d-1)\ell} s_{2d-1} - p^{(d-2)\ell} a_{2d-2} g_2'(a_1) - \cdots - a_d g_d'(a_1) + (-1)^d a_1^{d-1} s_d.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

We now set

$$t_d = p^{(d-2)\ell} s_{2d-1} - p^{(d-3)\ell} a_{2d-2} g_2'(a_1) - \cdots - a_{d+1} g_{d-1}'(a_1) \tag{3.10}$$

and rewrite the last equation in (3.9) as

$$0 = p^\ell t_d - a_d g_d'(a_1) + (-1)^d a_1^{d-1} s_d \tag{3.11}$$

$$0 = p^\ell t_d - p^\theta \big[a_d p^{-\theta} g_d'(a_1) + (-1)^{d-1} a_1^{d-1} p^{-\theta} s_d\big]. \tag{3.12}$$

---

[1] Recall that $b_k = s_k$ for $k = 2, \ldots, d-1$

Since $p^{(2d-3)\ell+2\theta} \mid g_d(a_1)$ and since $s_d = p^{-(d-1)\ell} g_d(a_1)$, we have that $p^{(d-2)\ell+2\theta} \mid s_d$. In particular, $p^{-\theta} s_d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now, since $v_p(p^{-\theta} g_d'(a_1)) = 0$, we can choose $a_d \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that the expression inside the brackets in (3.12) is divisible by $p^{(d-1)\ell+\theta}$. We then solve for t_d and obtain that t_d is divisible by $p^{(d-2)\ell+2\theta}$. Furthermore, from equation (3.11) we deduce that a_d must be divisible by $p^{(d-2)\ell+\theta}$.

With a_d having been chosen, we go back to the first equation of (3.9) and solve for s_{d+1}. It follows that, at the very least, $p^\theta \mid s_{d+1}$. Note that if $d = 2$, then $s_{d+1} = s_{2d-1} = t_d$, so the choice of t_d in equation (3.12) already determines s_{d+1}.

Inductive step. Choosing a_{d+j}, t_{d+j} and s_{d+1+j}.

Consistent with the above definition of t_d, we now let

$$t_{d+j} = p^{(d-2)\ell} s_{2d-1+j} - p^{(d-3)\ell} a_{2d-2+j} g_2'(a_1) - \cdots - a_{d+1+j} g_{d-1}'(a_1).$$

Following the pattern in (3.7), the equation for s_{2d} can then be written as

$$0 = p^\ell s_{2d} - a_{2d-1} g_2'(a_1) + a_{2d-2} s_2 + \cdots + a_{d+1} s_{d-1} + a_d b_d + a_1 s_{2d-1}.$$

If we multiply both sides of this equation by $p^{(d-2)\ell}$ and use the relations (3.4), (3.6), and (3.10), we obtain the equivalent equation

$$0 = p^\ell t_{d+1} - a_{d+1} g_d'(a_1) + a_1 t_d + p^{(d-2)\ell} a_d b_d.$$

Repeating this process iteratively, for every $j \geq 1$, the equation for s_{2d+j-1} in the system (3.5) can be reduced to

$$0 = p^\ell t_{d+j} - a_{d+j} g_d'(a_1) + a_1 t_{d+j-1} + p^{(d-2)\ell} R_{d+j-1}, \tag{3.13}$$

where $R_{d+j-1} = a_d b_{d+j-1} + \cdots + a_{d+j-1} b_d$.

Assume that the numbers a_{d+i}, t_{d+i} and s_{d+1+i} have been chosen for all i with $0 \leq i \leq j-1$, in such a way that the corresponding equations are all satisfied, and such that

$$p^{(d-2)\ell+2\theta} \mid t_{d+i}, \quad p^{(d-2)\ell+\theta} \mid a_{d+i}, \quad p^\theta \mid s_{d+1+i}.$$

Note that we then have b_{d+i} as well and, in particular, $p^\theta \mid b_{d+i}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq j-1$. Thus each R_{d+i} is determined and $p^{2\theta} \mid R_{d+i}$.

We now proceed to choose a_{d+j} and t_{d+j}. Since p^θ divides $g_d'(a_1)$, t_{d+j-1}, and R_{d+j-1}, we can rewrite (3.13) as

$$0 = p^\ell t_{d+j} - p^\theta \big[a_{d+j} p^{-\theta} g_d'(a_1) - a_1 p^{-\theta} t_{d+j-1} - p^{(d-2)\ell-\theta} R_{d+j-1} \big]$$

without leaving $\mathbb{Z}$. Now, as for the base step, we can choose $a_{d+j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that the bracket is divisible by $p^{(d-1)\ell+\theta}$ (since $v_p(p^{-\theta} g_d'(a_1)) = 0$). We then solve for t_{d+j} and get a number which is divisible by $p^{(d-2)\ell+2\theta}$. From equation (3.13), it follows that a_{d+j} must be divisible by $p^{(d-2)\ell+\theta}$. Once again, if $d = 2$ we can stop here since $s_{d+1+j} = s_{2d-1+j} = t_{d+j}$.

To choose s_{d+1+j} we consider two cases. If $j \geq d-2$, the definition of t_{j+2} gives

$$p^{(d-2)\ell} s_{d+1+j} = t_{j+2} + p^{(d-3)\ell} a_{d+j} g_2'(a_1) + \cdots + a_{j+3} g_{d-1}'(a_1).$$

Since the numbers $a_{j+3}, \ldots, a_{d+j}$ are all divisible by $p^{(d-2)\ell+\theta}$, we can solve for s_{d+1+j} in $\mathbb{Z}$, and get that it is divisible by p^θ.

If $j < d-2$, then $d+1+j < 2d-1$ and we can use the equations in (3.7) to solve for s_{d+1+j}. More precisely, we consider the equation

$$0 = p^\ell s_{d+j+1} - a_{d+j} g_2'(a_1) + a_{d+j-1} b_2 + \cdots + a_d b_{j+1} + a_1 s_{d+j}.$$

Again, the numbers $a_d, \dots, a_{d+j}$ are all divisible by $p^{(d-2)\ell+\theta}$, in particular they are divisible by $p^{\ell+\theta}$. On the other hand, since $p^{(d-2)\ell+2\theta}$ divides s_d, an iteration of the equations in (3.7) gives that each s_{d+j} is divisible by $p^{(d-2-j)\ell+\theta}$ for every $1 \le j \le d-2$, and therefore $p^{\ell+\theta} \,|\, s_{d+j}$ for $j < d-2$. That means, also in this case, we can solve for s_{d+1+j} in $\mathbb{Z}$ and obtain $p^\theta \,|\, s_{d+1+j}$.

Altogether, we have found a_{d+j}, t_{d+j}, $s_{d+1+j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying the equations under consideration, and such that

$$p^{(d-2)\ell+2\theta} \,|\, t_{d+j}, \quad p^{(d-2)\ell+\theta} \,|\, a_{d+j}, \quad p^\theta \,|\, s_{d+1+j}.$$

This completes the induction and proves the assertion of the theorem. □

In general, having a root in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is certainly not necessary for a polynomial to factor in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$. For instance,

$$f(x) = 49 + 98x + 63x^2 + 14x^3 + x^4$$

is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$, namely $f(x) = (7 + 7x + x^2)^2$, but it has no roots in $\mathbb{Z}_7$.

However, as we discuss below, if the polynomial

$$f(x) = p^n + p^m\gamma_1 x + \gamma_2 x^2 + \cdots + \gamma_d x^d, \quad \gamma_d \neq 0,$$

is as in Theorem 3.2, with the additional condition that $\gcd(p, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) = 1$, then its reducibility in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ gives the existence of a root in $p\mathbb{Z}_p$. Note that if $n \le 2m$ and $f(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$, then there exist ℓ, a_k, $b_k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \le \ell \le n/2$, such that

$$f(x) = \big(p^\ell + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots\big)\big(p^{n-\ell} + b_1x + b_2x^2 + \cdots\big). \tag{3.14}$$

Proposition 3.15. *Let $f(x)$ be a polynomial of the form* (2.3) *with $n \le 2m$, and such that* $\gcd(p, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) = 1$. *If $f(x)$ factors as above, then $f(x)$ has a root $\varrho \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $v_p(\varrho) = \ell$.*

In order to prove this proposition, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.16. *If $f(x)$ as above is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$, then the factorization* (3.14) *can be arranged such that* $\gcd(p, a_1) = 1$ *and $a_2 = \cdots = a_k = 0$ for any $k \ge 2$.*

Proof. From equation (3.14) we deduce the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} p^m\gamma_1 &= p^\ell(b_1 + p^{n-2\ell}a_1), \\ \gamma_2 &= p^\ell(b_2 + p^{n-2\ell}a_2) + a_1b_1, \\ \gamma_3 &= p^\ell(b_3 + p^{n-2\ell}a_3) + a_1b_2 + a_2b_1, \end{aligned}$$

which imply that either $\gcd(p, a_1) = 1$ or $\gcd(p, b_1) = 1$ (since $\gcd(p, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) = 1$).

If $2\ell < n$, then $n \le 2m$ implies $\ell < m$, and so $p \,|\, b_1$. Hence $\gcd(p, a_1) = 1$.

If $2\ell = n$, then the two factors in (3.14) are exchangeable and we can assume that a_1 is the one relatively prime to p.

We will proceed by induction in $k \ge 2$, assuming that $\gcd(p, a_1) = 1$.

Base step ($k = 2$): Since $\gcd(p, a_1) = 1$, there exist $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$u_1a_1 + u_2p^\ell = -a_2.$$

If we write $f(x) = A(x)B(x)$ with $A(x), B(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ as in (3.14), then

$$f(x) = \big((1 + u_1x + u_2x^2)A(x)\big)\big((1 + u_1x + u_2x^2)^{-1}B(x)\big).$$

Since $(1+u_1x+u_2x^2)^{-1}B(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ and

$$\begin{aligned}(1+u_1x+u_2x^2)A(x) &= (1+u_1x+u_2x^2)\big(p^\ell + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots\big)\\ &= p^\ell + (a_1+u_1p^\ell)x + (a_2+u_1a_1+u_2p^\ell)x^2 + \cdots\\ &= p^\ell + c_1x + c_3x^3 + \cdots,\end{aligned}$$

we now have a factorization of $f(x)$ with the desired properties.

Inductive step: Suppose that (3.14) can be written as $f(x) = A_k(x)B(x)$ with

$$\begin{aligned}A_k(x) &= p^\ell + a_1x + a_{k+1}x^{k+1} + a_{k+2}x^{k+2} + \cdots,\\ B(x) &= p^{n-\ell} + b_1x + b_2x^2 + \cdots.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\gcd(p, a_1^k) = 1$, there exist $u_1, u_{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$(-1)^{k-1}u_1a_1^k + u_{k+1}p^{k\ell} = -a_{k+1}p^{(k-1)\ell}. \tag{3.17}$$

In particular, $p^{(k-1)\ell} \mid u_1$. If we let $u_2 = -p^{-\ell}u_1a_1$, then

$$u_1a_1 + u_2p^\ell = 0 \;\text{ and }\; p^{(k-2)\ell} \mid u_2.$$

For $j = 3, \dots, k$, we recursively define $u_j = -p^{-\ell}u_{j-1}a_1$ and obtain

$$u_{j-1}a_1 + u_jp^\ell = 0 \;\text{ with }\; p^{(k-j)\ell} \mid u_j.$$

Finally, we let $U(x) = 1 + u_1x + u_2x^2 + \cdots + u_{k+1}x^{k+1}$ and write

$$f(x) = \big(U(x)A_k(x)\big)\big(U(x)^{-1}B(x)\big).$$

Observe that $U(x)^{-1}B(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}U(x)A_k(x) &= (1+u_1x+\cdots+u_{k+1}x^{k+1})\big(p^\ell + a_1x + a_{k+1}x^{k+1} + \cdots\big)\\ &= p^\ell + (a_1+u_1p^\ell)x + (u_1a_1+u_2p^\ell)x^2 + (u_2a_1+u_3p^\ell)x^3 +\\ &\quad + (u_{k-1}a_1+u_kp^\ell)x^k + (a_{k+1}+u_ka_1+u_{k+1}p^\ell)x^{k+1} + \cdots\end{aligned}$$

By construction, the coefficients of $x^2, \dots, x^k$ are all zero, and

$$u_ka_1 = (-1)^{k-1}p^{-(k-1)\ell}u_1a_1^k.$$

Thus, by (3.17), the coefficient of x^{k+1} is also zero and we get

$$U(x)A_k(x) = p^\ell + c_1x + c_{k+2}x^{k+2} + \cdots$$

as desired. □

Proof of Proposition 3.15. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq d$. By Lemma 3.16 we can assume that $f(x)$ factors as in (3.14) with $\gcd(p, a_1) = 1$ and $a_2 = \cdots = a_d = \cdots = a_k = 0$. Note that the choice of a_1 depends on k. Using the notation $s_j = b_j + p^{n-2\ell}a_j$, we then get the equations

$$\begin{aligned}p^m\gamma_1 &= p^\ell s_1,\\ \gamma_2 &= p^\ell s_2 + a_1(p^{m-\ell}\gamma_1 - p^{n-2\ell}a_1),\\ \gamma_j &= p^\ell s_j + a_1s_{j-1} \text{ for } 2 \leq j \leq d.\end{aligned}$$

Solving for s_d in terms of a_1 and the coefficients of $f(x)$, these equations give the identity $g_d(a_1) = p^{(d-1)\ell}s_d$, where $g_d(x)$ is the polynomial

$$g_d(x) = \frac{(-1)^dx^d}{p^{2\ell}}f(-p^\ell/x). \quad \text{(cf. proof of Theorem 3.2)}$$

We also consider the next block of equations

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= p^\ell s_{d+1} + a_1 s_d \\ &\vdots \\ 0 &= p^\ell s_{k+1} + a_1 s_k. \end{aligned}$$

Using that $\gcd(p, a_1) = 1$, the last equation then gives $p^\ell \mid s_k$. This implies

$$p^{2\ell} \mid s_{k-1} \implies p^{3\ell} \mid s_{k-2} \implies \cdots \implies p^{(k-d+1)\ell} \mid s_d,$$

and therefore $g_d(a_1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{k\ell}}$.

In conclusion, for every $k \geq d$ we have an integer $a_{1,k}$ such that

$$g_d(a_{1,k}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{k\ell}}.$$

By Lemma 2.6, there exists $r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $g_d(r) = 0$. Moreover, $v_p(a_{1,k}) = 0$ implies $v_p(r) = 0$. In particular, $1/r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Finally, because of the relation between $f(x)$ and $g_d(x)$, it follows that $\varrho = -p^\ell/r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a root of $f(x)$ with $v_p(\varrho) = \ell$. □

4. Examples and further remarks

In this section, we intend to illustrate our results for the special cases of quadratic and cubic polynomials. We also discuss some particular aspects of the factorization of integer polynomials over $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ and $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$.

Once again, the only difficulty is to understand the factorization properties of polynomials of the form (2.3). Thus we consider

$$f(x) = p^n + p^m \gamma_1 x + \gamma_2 x^2 + \gamma_3 x^3, \quad |\gamma_2| + |\gamma_3| \neq 0, \tag{4.1}$$

with p prime, $n \geq 2$, $m \geq 1$, $\gcd(p, \gamma_1) = 1$ or $\gamma_1 = 0$, and $\gcd(p, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) = 1$.

If $n > 2m$, everything is said in Proposition 2.5.

If $n \leq 2m$, we can combine Proposition 3.1, Theorem 3.2, and Proposition 3.15 to formulate the following result.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $f(x)$ be a polynomial of the form* (4.1) *with $n \leq 2m$ or $\gamma_1 = 0$. Then $f(x)$ admits a factorization*

$$f(x) = \left(p^\ell + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \cdots\right)\left(p^{n-\ell} + b_1 x + b_2 x^2 + \cdots\right) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$$

with $1 \leq \ell \leq n/2$ if and only if it has a root $\varrho \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $v_p(\varrho) = \ell \geq 1$.

As the polynomials $(7+7x+x^2)^2$ and $(7+7x+x^2)(7+7x+x^3)$ show, Theorem 4.2 is not necessarily valid for polynomials of degree higher than 3. Observe that neither $7 + 7x + x^2$ nor $7 + 7x + x^3$ have roots in $\mathbb{Z}_7$.

On the other hand, under the assumption that $f(x)$ has a root in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, it is indeed necessary to assume that its p-adic valuation be positive. For instance, the polynomial $7+21x+15x^2+x^3 = (1+x)(7+14x+x^2)$ has -1 as root in $\mathbb{Z}_7$, but it does not admit a proper factorization in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ since $1+x$ is a unit and $7+14x+x^2$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$. Note that $v_7(-1) = 0$.

As discussed in Section 2, the conditions $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 1$ are necessary for Theorem 4.2 to hold. In fact, any power series with $f(0) = 1$ is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$, and any power series for which $f(0)$ is a prime number, is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$. Moreover, if $\gcd(p, \gamma_1) = 1$, any power series of the form $p^n + \gamma_1 x + \cdots$, is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$. However, the polynomial $p^n + \gamma_1 x + \gamma_2 x^2$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ for every $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\gcd(p, \gamma_1) = 1$.

In other words, in general, the reducibility of polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ does not follow from their reducibility in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ or $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$. However, J.-P. Bézivin [1] claims that, in certain cases, the reducibility in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ of a polynomial $p^n + \sum_{k=1}^{d} \alpha_k x^k$ is equivalent to their reducibility in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$.

We finish this section with a brief discussion about the factorization of quadratic and cubic polynomials in the presence of a multiple p-adic root.

Multiple roots. As mentioned at the beginning of Section 3, if $f(x)$ has a multiple root in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, then the factorization of $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ can be achieved with polynomial factors. We now proceed to illustrate how this factorization looks like for the cases at hand. Recall that if $f(x)$ has a multiple root, then its discriminant must be zero.

First, if $f(x)$ in (4.1) is quadratic and has a double root, then $p^{2m}\gamma_1^2 - 4p^n\gamma_2 = 0$. Hence $n = 2m$, γ_1 is an even number, and

$$f(x) = p^n + p^m\gamma_1 x + \gamma_2 x^2 = (p^m + \tfrac{\gamma_1}{2} x)^2.$$

Consider now $f(x) = p^n + p^m\gamma_1 x + \gamma_2 x^2 + \gamma_3 x^3$ as in (4.1) with $\gamma_3 \neq 0$, $n \le 2m$, and suppose that it has a double root, say $\varrho \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Thus

$$p^n + p^m\gamma_1\varrho + \gamma_2\varrho^2 + \gamma_3\varrho^3 = 0, \quad p^m\gamma_1 + 2\gamma_2\varrho + 3\gamma_3\varrho^2 = 0,$$

and so p must divide $\varrho^2(\gamma_2 + \gamma_3\varrho)$ and $\varrho(2\gamma_2 + 3\gamma_3\varrho)$. Since $\gcd(p, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) = 1$, we then conclude that p divides ϱ. Let $\ell = v_p(\varrho) \ge 1$. Note that $n \le 2m$ implies $\ell \le m$ and $2\ell \le n$. Moreover, using that $9\gamma_3 f(\varrho) - (3\gamma_3\varrho + \gamma_2)f'(\varrho) = 0$, we obtain

$$\varrho = \frac{p^m\gamma_1\gamma_2 - 9p^n\gamma_3}{2(3p^m\gamma_1\gamma_3 - \gamma_2^2)} \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Write $\varrho = -b/a$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. Then $a \,|\, \gamma_3$ and $b \,|\, p^n$, hence $b = p^\ell$ and $\varrho = -p^\ell/a$. We conclude that $f(x)$ admits the factorization

$$f(x) = (p^\ell + ax)\big(p^{n-\ell} + (p^{m-\ell}\gamma_1 - p^{n-2\ell}a)x + \tfrac{\gamma_3}{a}x^2\big),$$

which is a proper factorization of $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$.

References

[1] Bézivin, Jean-Paul, Unpublished communication, 2010.

[2] Birmajer, Daniel, and Gil, Juan B., *Arithmetic in the ring of formal power series with integer coefficients*, Amer. Math. Monthly **115** (2008), no. 6, 541–549.

[3] Birmajer, Daniel; Gil, Juan B., and Weiner, Michael D., *Factorization of quadratic polynomials in the ring of formal power series over* $\mathbb{Z}$, J. Algebra Appl. **6** (2007), no. 6, 1027–1037.

[4] Katok, Svetlana, *p-adic analysis compared with real*, Mathematics Advanced Study Semesters (Student Mathematical Library, 37). American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.

[5] Serre, Jean-Pierre, *A course in arithmetic*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 7, Springer Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973.

Department of Mathematics, Nazareth College, 4245 East Ave., Rochester, NY 14618
E-mail address: `abirmaj6@naz.edu`

Penn State Altoona, 3000 Ivyside Park, Altoona, PA 16601.
E-mail address: `jgil@psu.edu`

Penn State Altoona, 3000 Ivyside Park, Altoona, PA 16601.
E-mail address: `mdw8@psu.edu`